# Agenda Item 7

Committee: Overview and Scrutiny Commission

**Date:** 10 March 2015

Wards: All

**Subject**: Scrutiny review of public sector delivery models – scope and terms of

reference

Lead officer: Julia Regan, Head of Democracy Services

Lead member: Councillor Peter Southgate, Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny

Commission

Contact officer: Julia Regan; Julia.regan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3864

#### Recommendations:

A. That the Overview and Scrutiny Commission agree to set up a series of task group review to increase its knowledge of different models of service provision and the associated implications for scrutiny;

- B. That the Commission discuss and approve the terms of reference and scope for the first of these reviews, to focus on shared services, set out in paragraph 2.3-2.6 below;
- C. That the Commission appoint members to the task group.

## 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Further to discussion at the Commission's meeting on 29 January 2015, the purpose of this report is to present the amended draft terms of reference and scope of the public sector delivery models task group to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission for approval.

#### 2. DETAILS

- 2.1 The Commission and the Scrutiny Panels will increasingly be scrutinising services that have been provided or commissioned through a wide range of different mechanisms, as well as proposals to move to alternative delivery arrangements. There are a number of different models, including but not exclusively:
  - shared service provision
  - commissioning from private or voluntary/community sector
  - · joint commissioning with other public bodies
  - · joint venture companies
  - transfer to social enterprises or trusts
  - · arms-length trading companies
- 2.2 In order to carry out effective scrutiny, it is recommended that the Commission undertake a series of task group reviews that will help scrutiny members to understand the different models of service provision and to identify the best approach to scrutinising each model.

- 2.3 As the council already has a number of shared service arrangements, it is proposed that this should be the focus of the first task group. Proposed draft terms of reference:
  - to examine a range of examples of shared service provision in Merton and elsewhere;
  - to identify the potential advantages and challenges of shared service provision for the council, its partners and local residents;
  - to identify the best approach to scrutinising shared services to ensure that the council is receiving value for money and effective service provision
- 2.4 Much of the work would therefore be done through site visits plus a small number of task group meetings to scope the work, plan visits, reflect on findings and agree recommendations.
- An initial work planning meeting will be held prior to the pre-election period so that task group members can begin visits from week beginning 11 May. The task group would produce a report for the Commission's meeting on 14 July 2015 so that its findings could inform the Commission's work programme for 20151/16.
- 2.6 Support would be provided by the Head of Democracy Services.

### 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Commission can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

## 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

None for the purposes of this report.

# 5. FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

None for the purposes of this covering report. Any resource implications will need to be taken into account when drawing up & approving specific review recommendations

#### 6. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 There are none specific to this report.

# 7. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

7.1 There are none specific to this report.

#### 8. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 There are none specific to this report.

# 9. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are none specific to this report.

### 10. APPENDICES

10.1 None

#### 11. BACKGROUND PAPERS

11.1 None